## Department of Teacher Education and Leadership Rubric for Scoring the Dissertation Defense

		Unacceptable (1)	Acceptable (2)	Target (3)	Score
Abstract		Does not provide an	Provides a cogent description	Clearly conveys a focused	
a. b.	Concise statement of the problem, methods, and procedures Summary of findings and implications	adequate description of the study.	of research study.	description of the research study.	
Nature o	f the Study (Typically Chapter 1)	Demonstrates minimal	Covers all essential	Covers all essential	
a.	General background of the topic	knowledge and	components; provides	components in an exemplary	
b.	Statement of the problem	application in covering	contextual background;	manner; demonstrates an	
c.	Purpose of the study	the essential	provides significance to	exemplary level in providing	
d.	Research questions	components as an	study. Problem statement is	a clear introduction to the	
e.	Theoretical framework of the study	introduction to the	concise; purpose of the study	research study.	
f.	Conceptual design of the study	study.	is clearly focused.		
g.	Overview of the methodology				
h.	Significance of the study/importance to the field	Felled to more ideas	Madaustala and I amania d	Community maintains of	
	f the Literature (Typically Chapter 2)	Failed to provide an adequate review of the	Moderately well organized; includes an adequate	Comprehensive review of literature relevant to the	
a.	Review of the theoretical and empirical literature, organized according to a specific and logical pattern	relevant literature. No	description of related research	study; well organized; clearly	
b.	Summary of what previous research has shown and how it	synthesis, critique, or	samples and methodologies.	describes the breadth of	
υ.	relates to the study	rationale. Insufficient	Theoretical perspectives are	related research. Theoretical	
c.	Explanation of the theoretical underpinnings of the study	description prior	presented.	perspectives are clearly	
d.	Definition of relevant terms not defined elsewhere	research and related	presented.	articulated and explained.	
		theory.			
Methodol	logy of the Study (Typically Chapter 3)	Inadequate description	The research design and	Excellent presentation of	
a.	Description of the research design and methodology	of subjects, design,	methodology are appropriate	research design and	
b.	Research questions/hypotheses	methods, procedures,	and described in detail; in	methodology; appropriate and	
c.	Research context or site and subjects or participants	and statistical analysis.	alignment with the research	described in detail; in	
d.	Instruments and materials used		questions and theory. An	alignment with the research	
e.	Procedures followed for data collection		adequate description is	questions and theory. An	
f.	Procedures followed for data analysis		provided for each component.	adequate description is	
i.	Delimitations of the study			provided for each component.	
	f the Study (Typically Chapter 4)	Absence of pertinent	Discussion and presentation	Results align with research	
a.	Presentation of the results, organized in terms of the research	results. Table/figures	of results align with research	questions/hypotheses and are	
1.	questions/hypotheses	(if needed) are absent	questions/ hypotheses and the	presented in a clear and	
b. с.	Summary of the results obtained Discussion of the findings of the study	or inappropriate, poorly labeled, and/or	methodology; cogently expresses results, including	concise manner. Theoretical connections are convincingly	
C.	Discussion of the initialities of the study	inappropriate or	tables/figures (if needed)	made; relationships among	
		incoherent narrative.	tables/figures (if ficeded)	related studies are detailed.	
Summary	y, Conclusions, & Recommendations (Typically Chapter 5)	Considerable relevant	Summarizes the study's	Findings are articulated in	
a.	A summary of the results, organized by research questions	discussion missing.	findings, provides	superior, engaging, and	
b.	A brief discussion of the findings with conclusions drawn	Conclusions/summary	perspective; summarizes	thought-provoking fashion.	
	and discussion of the same	not clearly linked to	implications, applications,	Summary, conclusions, and	
c.	Limitations of the study	findings.	and future directions for	recommendations are clearly	
d.	General recommendations for practitioners/policymakers		research.	situated within the theoretical	
e.	Recommendations for future research			framework and outcomes.	
Reference		References missing;	Followed APA guidelines for	Followed APA guidelines for	
a.	All cited in text	did not follow APA	use of references with minor	use of references; exceptional	
<b>b.</b>	APA format and style	guidelines; poor use of	revisions required; good use	use of source material.	
C.	Use of source materials	source materials.	of source materials.	Provides esembles	<del>                                     </del>
Appendic	Sample consent form, IRB approval, sample instruments	Does not provide documentation of	Provides adequate documentation.	Provides complete documentation with	
a. b.	Other documentation as appropriate	materials.	documentation.	appropriate appendices.	
Writing (	** 1	Lacks clarity;	Written with clarity and	Written with great clarity and	-
a.	Professional scholarly appearance	sentences are poorly	precision; writing is logical	precision. Writing is logical	
b.	Includes appropriate citations	constructed and	and coherent. Correct use of	and coherent. Correct use of	
c.	Written in a scholarly language that is clear and precise	confusing; frequent	6 <sup>th</sup> edition APA.	6 <sup>th</sup> edition APA.	
d.	Logically organized, including introductory overviews and	errors in word choice,			
	summaries for each chapter	grammar, punctuation,			
e.	Use of APA and Graduate School Format and Style	and spelling.			
Dissertation Oral Defense/Presentation		Poor quality of slides/	Good organization and	Excellent slides/presentation	
a.	Organization	presentation materials;	quality of slides/ presentation	materials; enthusiastic;	
b.	Materials	disorganized,	materials; displayed interest	expressed ideas fluently;	
c.	Accuracy	unenthused; relied	and enthusiasm; good use of	excellent communication	
d.	Delivery	extensively on notes.	voice and mannerisms.	skills; engaging, thought-	
	Summation	i e	i	provoking discussion.	

Student's Name	Date of Defense
Title of Dissertation	
Signature of Evaluating Committee Member	